


Summary

DELEGATE FEEDBACK

ECRAF CONGRESS VIENNA

14. – 17.TH SEPTEMBER 2010



- The Congress programme about right
- The Congress programme relevant to what the delegates wanted to discuss
 - The Congress programme allowed enough time for discussion
 - All delegates participated in the discussion

CONGRESS FORMAT

1.THE CONGRESS PROGRAMME

To busy: 0
Not busy enough: 2
About right: 14

2.THE CONTENT OF THE PROGRAMME

Relevant to what you want to discuss: 15
Irrelevant to what you want to discuss: 1
Interesting but not what you expected: 0

3.ENOUGH TIME FOR DISCUSSION

Does the programme allow enough time for discussion of the main topics? Yes: 15 No: 1

4.PARTICIPATION IN DISCUSSION

Did you participate in the discussion? Yes: 17 No: 0

5.DIFFICULT DISCUSSION

Did you find the discussion difficult to follow? Yes: 0 No: 17

6.DELEGATES PRESENTING NATIONAL ACTIVITIES

Would you prefer to have presentations with delegates presenting national activities? Yes: 8* No: 8

*Yes, if it's interesting for all members

*Yes, as a main topic

7.GUESTSPEAKERS ON RELEVANT TOPICS

Would you like to have guest speakers on relevant topics? Yes: 15 No: 1

8. BALANCE BETWEEN FORMAL MEETINGS AND SOCIAL ACTIVITY

Is the balance between formal meetings/discussion and social activity:

- *To much work ?* 1
- *To little work ?* 2
- *About right?* 14

•9. THE FORMAT OF THE CONGRESS

What would you like to change to the format of the Congress?

- *I was for the first time at a congress like this and I find it OK. So I wouldn't change anything*
- *Program/format is ok*
- *Short introduction of each member*
- *If there is a presentation, it should be followed by discussion diorectly*
- *The discussion muest be carried out right after each topic – in that way the delegates are more eager to participate*
- *More free time, more politicalmeetings and less social activity*
- *May be it would be fruitful to some smaller groups to discuss the main topics more. Every brief should end with a discussion to make sure that info is fresh*
- *Social activity is ok, but not influence to discussion time*

ADMINISTRATION

10. CONGRESS PAPERS

Did you receive all the Congress papers ? Yes: 14 No: 1

11. ADMINISTRATIVE INTRUCTIONS

Were the administrative instructions clear? Yes: 12 No: 0

12. MAKING THE BOOKINGS

Did you experience any difficulty in making the bookings? Yes: 1* No: 13

**I was late with my booking(20. Aug.2010). There was not any free room, but I booked by a travel agency. And, everything was ok.*

13. TO FIND THE HOTEL

Was the hotel easy to find? Yes: 12 No: 0

14. COMING TO THE NEXT CONGRESS

Are you intending to come to the Congress next year? Yes: 12 No: 2 (??)

15. NOT COVERED IN ADMINISTRATION OF THE EVENT

Is there anything that you feel was not covered in the administration of the field?

- *We didn't know there was a welcome dinner, and we arrived just 15 minutes before it started*
- *Distribute all presentations, documents, report etc in advance, or during the conference. In this way the delegates may be better prepared and can contribute to more useful discussions*

SUGGESTIONS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

16.SUGGESTIONS FOR TOPICS FUTURE MEETINGS

Do you have any suggestions for discussion topics for future meetings?

- *In order to improve ECRAF's competence in road safety you should consider to invite significant external people to have presentations on relevant topics. For example safety culture, remarkable achievements for road safety, new technologies etc.*
- *If you send people abroad for missions, there is a need of criteria? For example has drivers license C, but has been sitting at a desk for 10 years. (Mileage, months of experience before missions).*
- *Combat vehicles from each country*
- *Personal transport vehicles.*

The report is built on 17 received answers. Not all has reponded on the questions at page 2 of the questionnaire, and the number of answers will for that reason differ.